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Abstract
While port facilities in Japan have long served an important role in the life of the nation, they have issues associated with deterioration, indicating an urgent need to establish and implement efficient and effective maintenance and control methods. In particular, inspections of the bottom parts of the superstructures of piled piers involve work in narrow and dark spaces, reducing their efficiency. Furthermore, in the method of classifying the degradation condition of the piled piers, the degree of degradation of the members is judged by humans based on the four-stage standard criteria in Japan. This poses a problem that the results may be biased depending on the subjective views of the inspectors. To resolve this problem, an inspection method using an unmanned boat with a mounted high-definition camera has been developed. In addition, techniques that enable the production of 3D models of target structures from pictured images have been developed for extracting and assessing the occurrence of degradation, such as cracks. A technique to automatically evaluate the degree of degradation of part members has been developed. These techniques were applied to the piled pier, for which 45 years had elapsed since their construction, to examine their usefulness and labor-saving ability. As a result, the developed techniques were confirmed to save approximately 2.5 times more local labor than the standard method involving manual inspection, and the results of automatic evaluation of the degree of degradation generally coincided with those of human evaluation.

1. Introduction
In Japan, the deterioration of port facilities is currently becoming increasingly serious. It is expected that 60% or more of facilities will be at least 50 years old in 2030. In particular, the upper concrete parts of piled piers are located in the splash zone, showing obvious progress of degradation due to salt damage. Thus, efficient maintenance and control of these facilities are required both now and in the future. However, the investigation of the bottom parts of the superstructures of piled piers that are in service may need to be conducted in peculiar environments, including the presence of moored ships, and the number of engineers available to be assigned to the investigation is insufficient. Visual inspection of the bottom parts of the superstructures of piled piers in Japan is commonly conducted by a specialist on board a small boat, as shown in Fig.-1.1. The specialist ascertains the degree of degradation by visual observation from the boat, fully utilizing his knowledge. Inspections
frequently overlap with the time when ships are moored or when there is an ebb tide. Sometimes, inspection may be conducted in the night depending on the tide level. Consequently, the inspection needs considerable time and costs. As the person engaged in a prolonged investigation is affected by waves, including wakes during inspection, excessive physical stress is also an issue. In addition, since the boat used in the investigation accommodates two people—the boat operator and the inspector—even a small boat needs a certain size. This prevents the boat from entering narrow areas, such as near the aprons, preventing inspections from being adequately performed. Owing to the long service period of the port facilities, there are cases in which either the maintenance and management data are inappropriately stored or the objective data themselves do not exist, hindering smooth handover of the inspection and diagnostic tasks. Moreover, the judgement of the necessity for repair and selection of a repair method are based on the four-stage standard criteria in Japan (a, b, c, and d), but the results of grading are in most cases biased due to the subjective opinion of the inspectors.

Fig.-1.1 Investigation of the undersurface of the superstructure of a piled pier (conventional method)

To resolve these problems, an inspection method using a small unmanned boat with a mounted high-definition camera has been developed to efficiently perform investigation under time and space restrictions without requiring the inspection engineers to physically investigate below piled piers. In addition, a technique of producing 3D models of target structures from captured images and using them to identify cracks has been developed and a diagnostic method of automatically evaluating the degree of degradation of part members has been established. In this study, these techniques have been applied to 45-year-old piled piers in order to examine the labor-saving characteristics and usefulness of the techniques.

2. Inspection Method

To replace the conventional technique of human visual inspection of the bottom parts of the superstructures of piled piers, the technique described herein employs a small unmanned boat with a mounted high-definition camera to perform inspection beneath the superstructure of a piled pier, as shown in Figure-2.1. The particulars of the small boat are described hereafter.
2.1 Small unmanned boat

The small unmanned boat selected for this system is produced in the U.S.A. and has been previously used in many studies focusing on flow-rate observation and sounding of rivers and the like. Before the devices were mounted, the boat measured 1.8-m long, 0.9-m wide, and 0.35-m high and had a mass of approximately 25 kg. The boat has two thrusters at the stern that are powered by batteries stored inside the boat.

To perform an efficient inspection, various devices were installed on the unmanned boat. Figures-2.2 and 2.3 show the unmanned boat before and after mounting the devices, respectively. Upon mounting the devices, the boat became 2.2-m long, 1.1-m wide, and 0.65-m high and had a mass of 57.5 kg. It was able to enter below a piled pier as long as the distance between the undersurface of the superstructure of the piled pier and the water surface was approximately 80 cm or more.

Fig.-2.1 Inspection of the undersurface of the superstructure of a piled pier by an unmanned boat

Fig.-2.2 Unmanned boat
(before mounting the devices)

Fig.-2.3 Unmanned boat
(after mounting the devices)
2.2 Main components

(1) Camera for capturing images
The image-shooting camera had a maximum resolution of 4,608 × 3,456 pixels (approximately 16 million pixels) for still images and 3,840 × 2,180 pixels (approximately 8.4 million pixels) for video. For example, the camera, equipped with a lens with a focal distance of 7 mm at a distance of 4 m from an object has a resolution of approximately 1.9 mm/pixel when shooting video.

(2) Gimbal (a shaking-suppression device)
At an early stage of development, a camera was directly mounted on a radio-controlled boat, resulting in the captured images being blurry due to the boat’s motion under the influence of waves and the inability to focus. To capture stable images using the image-shooting camera, the camera was mounted with the aid of a high-performance three-axis control-type gimbal (shaking-suppression device), which automatically regulates each pitch, roll, and yaw motion. This arrangement holds the mounted camera in a particular direction. Figure-2.4 shows a photograph of the gimbal used herein, and Fig.-2.5 shows a photograph of the gimbal mounted on the boat.

(3) Transmitters for camera images
As the image transmission frequency for both the boat operation camera and the image-shooting camera, a high-frequency band was used so that large capacity data, such as images, can be transmitted at a high speed. The transmitter for the images from the boat operation camera was mounted at the bow, protected with a waterproof case. The transmitter for the image-shooting camera was fitted onto the upper part of the gimbal body. Figure-2.6 shows a photograph of the transmitter for the images captured by the boat operation camera. Figure-2.7 shows a photograph of the overall view and installation of the transmitter for the images captured by the image-shooting camera.
(4) Monitor
The images captured by the boat operation and image-shooting cameras are transmitted by their respective transmitters and are received at the monitor placed on the pier, as shown in Fig.-2.8. By observing the images on the monitor screen, the boat operator drives the boat and the inspector controls the gimbal. Figure-2.9 shows an image captured by the boat operation camera during an investigation.

(5) Radio for boat operation
a) Normal Radio
The unmanned boat uses a normal radio operating in the 2.4-GHz frequency band. While this frequency band is unsuitable for long-distance communication, its real-time communication capability makes it suitable for boat operation, which relies on the images displayed on the monitor. The transmitter of this radio is placed inside the waterproof case containing the receiver of another radio for image transmission from the boat operation camera. The transmitter is suspended down from the piled pier to a position that allows communication with the receiver mounted at the boat’s bow. Figures-2.10 and 2.11 show the transmitter and receiver of the radio, respectively.
b) Emergency Radio
In addition to the abovementioned normal radio, the boat operation radio system includes an emergency radio, which uses the 350–MHz frequency band. While the frequency of the emergency radio tends to have a signal lag compared to that of the normal radio, it can be used in emergencies when communication with the normal radio fails during boat operation. Figures 2.12 and 2.13 show the external antennas for the transmitter and receiver of the emergency radio, respectively.

(6) LED Lamp
It is important to have adequate illumination when taking pictures of sufficient quality to capture degradation phenomena, such as cracks, even when sunlight does not regularly reach the undersurface of the superstructure of a piled pier or when ships are moored nearby. Thus, LED lamps were installed on the unmanned boat to provide illumination suitable for capturing pictures. Figure 2.14 shows a photograph of the unmanned boat with the LED lamps illuminated, and Fig. 2.15 shows a diagrammatic arrangement of the LED lamps. Each LED lamp can be turned on and off individually via remote radio operation. This arrangement enabled secure image shooting with illumination, as required for conducting the investigation.
3. Diagnostic Method

3.1 Outline of the diagnostic method
A system was developed to automatically diagnose degradation of a structure based on images captured by the image shooting camera installed on the small unmanned boat and centrally manage the maintenance and control data.

At the bottom parts of the superstructure of the piled pier, the position data of the pictured images cannot be obtained due to the unavailability of GNSS. Thus, determining the positions of parts of the structure is impossible. To solve this issue, we initially considered employing a combination of GNSS and an acoustic-positioning system to determine the positions. However, there was a concern that the method might fail due to impediments caused by obstacles such as piles. Consequently, it was decided to perform an analysis based on the Structure-from-Motion-/Multi-View Stereo (SfM/MVS) technique, which automatically estimates the position of a camera and creates 3D models by image analysis.

Furthermore, the system is configured to automatically diagnose the degree of degradation to evaluate the degradation degree of the entire facility and store the maintenance and control data. To evaluate the degradation degree, first, ortho-images of each part are generated from the created 3D models. Next, the system is further configured to extract the forms of degradation, such as cracks or exposed reinforcement bars, from the orthochromatic images and the extracted quantitative data are used to automatically evaluate the degree of degradation of each part. A flow-chart of data processing for automatic diagnosis of degradation is shown in Fig.-3.1.
3.2 SfM/MVS

SfM/MVS is an image analysis technique that determines the position of a camera and creates 3D models of structures in a virtual space using a large number of images of the structures captured by the camera at different positions.

The characteristic points are obtained by analyzing a plurality of images captured from different positions. Matching those characteristic points between the images creates 3D models, and further using original images for the texture makes the 3D models realistic. While conventional photograph-processing requires a great deal of work, such as manually extracting common reference points from the photographed images, the SfM/MVS technique can automatically analyze a large number of images at once and produce 3D models without requiring high analytical skills. This technique has been spreading in recent years, with representative commercial software, including PhotoScan from Agisoft, Pix4Dmapper from Pix4D, and ContextCapture from Bentley. SfM/MVS has also begun to be adopted for photographic surveys with small unmanned aircraft (commonly referred to as drones; Hayakawa et al., 2016).

Producing 3D models of the lower part of the superstructure of piled pier by SfM/MVS analysis enables determining the relative positions of cracks or rust stain, and obtaining the ortho-images of each part member.
3.3 Automatic degradation diagnosis software

A system was developed to automatically extract and diagnose the degradation of piled piers. The developed software package extracts the degraded parts from the ortho-images created from 3D models and uses these data to evaluate the degradation degree of each part and the total structure as well as manage the data. Figure-3.2 shows the total system structure. The main functions of the software package are ① registration of 3D models resulting from the investigation of a piled pier and registration and management of the ortho-images of each part of the structure, ② data processing for identifying the anomalies from the ortho-images of each part of the structure, and ③ output the results, including the expansion plans (DXF format) of the piled pier, which present the identified anomaly conditions. Figure-3.3 shows the main screen of the developed system, which displays the expansion plan of a complete structure, showing all parts together with pictures and the results of the evaluation of degradation degree for each part.

① Register data
(1) Name of the project
Expansion plan view of the piled pier structure
(2) Name of investigation (investigation date)
(3) Name of part member (slab, beam)
Ortho-image of each part member (2 mm/pixel)
(4) 3D model
(5) Video of the investigation work

② Data processing
(1) Extraction to determine crack width and position as well as rust water area
(2) Evaluation of the degradation degree
Automatic evaluation of the degradation degree based on degradation data and evaluation criteria (part member + entire)

③ Degradation result output
(1) Output DXF of expansion plan and evaluation data
(2) Output report form

Fig.-3.2 System organization chart

Fig.-3.3 Main screen of the developed system
3.4 Extraction of degradation information

(1) Method of extracting degradation information

The degradation information that can be determined from photographed images includes cracks, efflorescence, rust stain, exposure of reinforcement, spalling, etc. It is understood that information such as the width, length, and location of cracks and the size of spalling is necessary for objectively grasping the degradation conditions of a structure.

Thus, the extraction of cracks from the images of structures is performed semi-automatically by adopting a method to compute the crack width from the contrast distribution around cracks (Nishimura et al., 2012). According to this method (Fig.-3.4), the crack location is roughly specified by manually drawing a curved line on an image. The width for the analysis (around 20 mm) is given by referring to the curved line, with peaks being automatically located based on the contrast levels in each pixel. Then, the cracks are drawn as a CAD drawing. Semi-automatic extraction is adopted because purely automatic detection of cracks has been shown to suffer issues with accuracy by various institutions. To enable accurate automatic evaluation of the degradation degree, this study uses a semi-automatic extraction method, which can increase the extraction accuracy to a certain extent by allowing the operator to specify the target area. The method of determining crack width involves computation of the crack index (CI) from the distribution of contrast ±4 pixels, as shown in Fig.-3.5, and allows crack widths to be obtained from a linear relation (1) between the CI values and crack widths:

\[ w = a \times [CI] - b \]  

The a and b factors are determined by experience or calibration. The crack width is graded with five levels of color tone, corresponding to widths of less than 0.5 mm, 0.5 mm to less than 1 mm, 1 mm to less than 2 mm, 2 mm to less than 3 mm, and 3 mm or more. As this method requires a consistent image resolution (2 mm/pixel for this research), lenses are selected to maintain the resolution at 2 mm/pixel or better for each part of the structures during the image-shooting stage. Then, image correction is performed based on the designed drawings when creating ortho-images with a resolution of 2 mm/pixel. Image correction employs bicubic interpolation, which uses 4 × 4 pixels (16 pixels) around the target point and obtains a final luminance value via cubic interpolation. The extraction of rust stain areas is performed by manually specifying such areas in images and drawing them in CAD.

Fig.-3.4 Image showing crack identification

Fig.-3.5 Crack width calculation method
(2) Examination of the accuracy of extracting degradation information

In terms of detectability of cracks, Koide et al. (1999) reported that the 0.2-mm-wide cracks can be detected in an image with a resolution of approximately 2 mm/pixel. Nishimura et al. (2012) used a method performing semi-automatic crack identification and computation from contrast distribution around cracks, deriving similar results. However, the data obtained by applying SfM/MVS or image correction to the images taken at sea with heavy movements have not been examined. Thus, sheets presenting imitational cracks and rust stain were photographed with the proposed technique at an actual piled pier, and SfM/MVS analysis was applied to the collected images in order to create 3D models. The widths and lengths of the cracks and the rust stain areas were determined from the orthochromatic images of the 3D models to examine the accuracy.

There is an existing standard, i.e., “Port Facility Inspection/Diagnosis Guidelines,” issued by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport (Fig.-3.6), applicable to ranking of the degradation conditions of the upper structure of piled piers. This standard is to rate the degradation conditions of the structures into four stages of degradation (a, b, c, and d) mainly based on visual inspection.

(a) Slab
(b) Beam/haunch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Condition Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Webbed cracks noticed in 50% or more of the surface area of a part member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spalling of cover concrete noticed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rust stain noticed in wide areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Webbed cracks noticed in less than 50% of the surface area of a part member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rust stain noticed in some areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Cracks in a single direction or belt-like or efflorescence noticed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rust stain noticed in a dotted pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>No anomaly noticed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Cracks with a width of 3 mm or more noticed along the reinforcement axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spalling of cover concrete noticed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rust stain noticed in wide areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Cracks with a width less than 3 mm noticed along the reinforcement axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rust stain noticed in some areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Cracks noticed only in a direction perpendicular to the axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rust stain noted in a dotted pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>No anomaly noticed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.-3.6 Degree of degradation evaluation criteria of the upper structure of piled piers
(Port Facility Inspection/Diagnosis Guidelines)

Since the border criterion between the gradation degrees a and b was the existence of a crack that was greater or equal to 3 mm in thickness, the detection of such cracks was examined. The examination involved using sheets on which fake cracks were drawn with lines that were 1-, 3-, and 5-mm wide and 350-mm long. The accuracy of identifying rust stain areas was examined using sheets (350 mm × 200 mm; area = 700 cm²) painted black. Figure-3.7 generally shows the sheets imitating the degradation cases. Three sheets each were pasted on the undersurfaces of the beam and a slab of a piled pier, and they were photographed by the image-shooting camera mounted on the unmanned boat. The speed of the boat at that time was approximately 0.5 m/s, and the photographing period lasted for approximately 15 min. In total, about 800 sheet images (3840 × 2160 pixels) were captured and analyzed using SfM/MVS to create 3D models, which are shown in Fig.-3.8. The results of identifying widths and lengths of cracks and rust stain areas are shown in Figs.-3.9 and 3.10, respectively. As a result, of the three 5-mm-wide fake cracks, the test measured all of them to be 3-mm wide or more. Of the three
3-mm-wide fake cracks, the test measured two of them as 3-mm wide or more and one of them as 2–3-mm wide. Of the three 1-mm-wide fake cracks, the test measured all of them to be 3-mm-wide or less, (of which two cracks to be 1–2-mm wide, one crack to be 0.1–1-mm wide). From these results, it was confirmed that the existence of cracks with a width of 3 mm or more can be identified with an accuracy of 89% (eight locations out of nine). The difference in the lengths of cracks was 21 mm at the largest. This was assumed to be an error that was attributed to the manual input of the detection area. However, it is considered that in the actual evaluation of the degradation degree, a 20-mm long crack will not significantly affect the investigation results or the evaluation of the degradation degree. The evaluation of rust stain areas resulted in a maximum difference of approximately 5%. The cause of the difference is assumed to be due to the errors in SfM/MVS analysis or the errors attributed to the manual input of the detection area. However, it is considered that similarly to the case of crack length detection, the maximum difference of approximately 5% will not significantly affect the investigation results or the evaluation of the degradation degree.

Fig.-3.7 Sheets used in the examination

Fig.-3.8 3D model of the examined piled pier
### 3.5 Automatic degradation diagnosis

This technique is intended to be a method of extracting the degradation information and automatically evaluating the degradation degree based on available data. For this purpose, the standards in “Port Facility Inspection/Diagnosis Guidelines” were referred to and numerical criteria for each description were established. These criteria are shown in Fig.-3.11. The factors for evaluating cracks are their orientation, width, and density. Crack density \( \text{m/m}^2 \) is defined as crack length (m)/cracked area (m²). The factor for evaluating the spalling of concrete or exposure of reinforcement is the ratio of degraded parts, which is defined as the area of the degraded parts (m²)/ the surface area of the member (m²).

(a) Slab

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Port Facility Inspection/Diagnosis Guidelines</th>
<th>Established evaluation criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Webbed cracks noticed in 50% or more of the surface area of a part member</td>
<td>Crack density ( \leq 2 \text{m/m}^2 ) or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spalling of cover concrete noticed</td>
<td>Concrete spalling area ( &lt; 1 \text{m/m}^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust stain noticed in wide areas</td>
<td>Leaching area of rust stain and exposed rebar area ( &lt; 50 \text{% or more} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webbed cracks noticed in less than 50% of the surface area of a part member</td>
<td>Crack density ( \geq 1 \text{m/m}^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust stain noticed in some areas</td>
<td>Leaching area of rust stain and Exposed rebar area ( &lt; 20 \text{% to 50%} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracks in a single direction or belt-like or efflorescence noticed</td>
<td>Crack density ( \geq 2 \text{m/m}^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust stain noticed in a dotted pattern</td>
<td>Efflorescence area ( &lt; 50 \text{% or more} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No anomaly noticed</td>
<td>Leaching area of rust stain and exposed rebar area ( &lt; 20 \text{% less than} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Beam/Haunch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Port Facility Inspection/Diagnosis Guidelines</th>
<th>Established evaluation criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracks with a width of 3 mm or more noticed along the reinforcement axis</td>
<td>Crack in the axial direction of the reinforcing bar with a width of 3 mm or more ( \text{Exist} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spalling of cover concrete noticed</td>
<td>Concrete spalling area ( &lt; 1 \text{m/m}^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust stain noticed in wide areas</td>
<td>Leaching area of rust stain and exposed rebar area ( &lt; 50 \text{% or more} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracks with a width less than 3 mm noticed along the reinforcement axis</td>
<td>Crack in the axial direction of the reinforcing bar with a width of 3 mm less than ( \text{Exist} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust stain noticed in some areas</td>
<td>Leaching area of rust stain and Exposed rebar area ( &lt; 20 \text{% to 50%} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracks noticed only in a direction perpendicular to the axis</td>
<td>Crack other than reinforcement axial direction ( \text{Exist} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rust stain noted in a dotted pattern</td>
<td>Leaching area of rust stain and Exposed rebar area ( &lt; 20 \text{% less than} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No anomaly noticed</td>
<td>Other Anomaly ( \text{Exist} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.-3.11 Established numerical criteria for the evaluation of the degradation degree
4. Trial Test at Piled Pier

To examine the efficiency and usability of this technique, a trial test on an actual piled pier was conducted.

4.1 Outline of the piled pier

The plan view and a cross-sectional view of the piled pier on which the trial test was conducted are shown in Fig.-4.1. The pier is 80-m long, 20-m wide, and 45 years old. The pier has a crane installed on top and is mainly used for unloading materials.

![Plan view and cross-sectional view of a piled pier](image)

Fig.-4.1 Plan view and cross-sectional view of a piled pier

4.2 Trial test situation

Figure-4.2 shows scenes from the trial test. The sea showed a significant wave height of about 0.3 m. The distance between the bottom parts of the superstructure of the piled pier and the sea surface was around 0.8–1.5 m. Figure-4.3 shows the position of the radio and the routes through which the unmanned boat traveled. Photographs were captured at least from four angles per point, the examination period of which was 2 h due to the tide conditions. At the slab that required the longest shooting distance, a lens with a focal distance of 7 mm was selected in order to maintain a resolution of 2 mm/pixel or better. The communication with each camera was supposed to be good for the overall length of the pier: 80 m. When the boat was at the farthest from the location of the radio antenna on the pier, i.e., at the pier's diagonal end, radio communication with the monitor occasionally failed temporarily.

![Trial test conducted on the undersurface of the superstructure of the piled pier](image)

Fig.-4.2 Trial test conducted on the undersurface of the superstructure of the piled pier
4.3 Result of trial test

(1) Examination of results from inspection

A 3D model covering about 1,600 m² of the planar portion of the pier was created using still pictures extracted from 4K (8.29 million pixels) recorded during the trial as shown in Figs.-4.4 and -4.5. The 3D model was created from the images of 2,064 sheets with intervals of about 1 sheet/s using the SfM/MVS software. The 3D model enabled the determination of the positions of the part members and degradation. The traveling speed of the unmanned boat estimated from the images was about 50 cm/s, from which the overlap rate of the adjacent continuous images along the advancing direction was computed to be about 84% for slabs.

Fig.-4.3 Plane of the piled pier

![Fig.-4.3 Plane of the piled pier](image)

Fig.-4.4 3D model of the undersurface of the superstructure of the piled pier (far view)

![Fig.-4.4 3D model of the undersurface of the superstructure of the piled pier (far view)](image)

Fig.-4.5 3D model of the undersurface of the superstructure of the piled pier (close view)

![Fig.-4.5 3D model of the undersurface of the superstructure of the piled pier (close view)](image)
The results from extracting orthochromatic images of each part member from the 3D model are shown in Fig.-4.6. The results were able to show the entire pier as an assembly of expansion plans of each part member. The results of extracting the aging degradation from the orthochromatic images by the technique here are shown in Fig.-4.7. In Figs.-4.6 and -4.7, on the columns with even numbers, the parts B, D and F are slabs. Examples of an orthochromatic image and the corresponding result of extracting the aging degradation, taken from each beam and slab, are shown in enlarged images and drawings in Fig.-4.8. The extraction showed the degradations like spalling of cover concrete, exposure of reinforcement on the bottom face of the beam, cracks, exposing reinforcement bars and efflorescence on the slab. Results from the extraction were used for automatic evaluation of degradation degree.

![Expansion plan of the orthochromatic images of each member](image1)

![Results of extracting aging degradation](image2)

**Legend**

- Crack width:
  - Less than 0.5mm
  - 0.5 to 1 mm
  - 1 to 2 mm
  - 2 to 3 mm
  - 3 mm or more

- Damage:
  - Rebar exposure
  - Aggregate exposure
  - Efflorescence
  - Spalling of concrete
  - Rust stain
  - Cold joint
  - Steel bar
  - Water leakage
  - Other damage

- Slab
- Beam
At the piled pier where this trial test was conducted, there also had been a human-based visual investigation one year before the investigation by the unmanned boat. The degree of degradation evaluated by the human-based inspection and that evaluated by the method with this technique are compared and shown in Figs.-4.9 and -4.10. In Fig.-4.9, the encircled letters denote the results from the human-based visual inspection, which differ from those of the method developed here. While results of slabs coincided 100% (54 members / 54 members), results of beams shoed 90% match (116 members / 129 members).

○ indicates mismatching. The letters denote evaluations.

Fig.-4.9 Comparison of plan views between diagnosis by human inspection and the proposed technique
Fig. 4.10 Comparison of the distribution of degradation levels obtained by diagnosis by human and the proposed technique

Causes of the difference of 10% are assumed to be: progress of degradation like spalling; the judgement of putting all the cracks along the axis of reinforcement bars at level b or worse regardless of the length of the cracks; and insufficient extraction of the existence of cracks 3 mm wide or greater. There are 3 locations where extraction of the existence of cracks 3 mm wide or more was insufficient. Their causes are assumed to be that the width of the particular crack was too large as shown in Fig. 4.11 or white blurring which occurred owing to direct light causing the contrast to be lost. Considering that human-based investigation also can be biased depending on subjective view of the inspector, the matching of 90% seems acceptable.

Fig. 4.11 An area with different degrees of degradation

(2) Resource saving demonstrated by field trial test
The productivity of the human-based standard inspection method in Japan using a small boat was known to be 1,240 m²/day. With 8-h total working hours a day, actual hours available for the investigation would be about 6 h, excluding preparation and cleaning up. In this trial test, the investigation using the unmanned boat lasted 2 h and took pictures covering about 1,600 m², demonstrating resource saving compared to the standard human-based inspection method in Japan. The
maximum investigation time of the unmanned boat is about 4 h after excluding the time for preparation, battery replacement, and cleaning up. From these results, it is revealed that the expected area of the investigation achievable by the proposed method is about 3,200 m²/day, i.e., about 2.5 times the efficiency of the standard human-based inspection method in Japan.

5. Summary

The results of this research are summarized below. We have confirmed that the images of target structures can be captured using an unmanned boat with data transmission systems without requiring a human inspector to enter under the superstructure of the piled pier. This research has developed a method of automatically diagnosing the degradation degree and has enabled the creation of 3D models of target structures in order to easily detect the degradation conditions. Objective evaluation based on the identified degradation data has been performed using the automatic degradation diagnosis method. A trial test for the proposed technique was conducted at an actual piled pier. We confirmed that the results of this method matched those of the standard method involving human inspection. Moreover, the proposed method showed an efficiency of about 2.5 times that of the standard method involving human inspection, proving its usability.
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